



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/078,142	02/19/2002	Juan Amengual	47133.010100	6466
54353	7590	03/21/2008	EXAMINER	
MANUEL VALCACEL			ARAQUE JR, GERARDO	
c/o GREENBERG TRAURIG, P.A.				
1221 BRICKELL AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MIAMI, FL 33131			3689	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/078,142	AMENGUAL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	GERARDO ARAQUE JR	3689	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 February 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-26,81 and 82 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-26,81 and 82 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)          | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)          | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Specification***

1. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101***

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. **Claim 1, 15, and 81** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because if the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claimed invention as a whole encompasses a human being, then a rejection under 35 USC 101 must be made indicating that the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. In the present case, the applicant discloses:

**“means for providing** customizable criteria for comparison...”

### ***Claim Objections***

4. **Claim 82** is objected to because of the following informalities: **the term “Obtaining” should read as “obtaining” in Step A of the claim.** Appropriate correction is required.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. **Claims 1 – 26 and 81 – 82** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

7. **Claims 1, 14, 15, 81, and 82** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01. The omitted steps are: a means for comparing.

8. **Claim 1, 14, 15, 81, and 82** recites the limitation "based on said comparison" in **Step E of the mentioned claims**. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

9. **Claim 1, 14, 15, 81, and 82** recites the limitation "**said additional websites**" in **Step E of the mentioned claims**. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

11. **Claims 1 - 26** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Pant et al. (US Patent 6,012,053)**.

12. In regards to **claims 1, 7, 14, 15, 16, 22, Pant** discloses a customizable computerized system for providing access to specified Internet websites and

comparable alternative websites utilizing telephone numbers as search queries, comprising:

means for storing data comprising Internet domain names for available websites, and telephone numbers corresponding to said websites, and data regarding products or services offered by said websites in a database (**Col. 3 Lines 25 - 27**);

means for receiving a system user search query for a specified Internet website in the form of a telephone number corresponding to said website's proprietor (**Col. 3 Lines 33 – 34**);

means for processing said user search query to provide access to said specified Internet website in response to said query (**Col. 5 Lines 22 – 25**);

means for providing customizable criteria for comparison of said specified website with other websites (**Col. 1 Lines 53 – 56; Col. 3 Lines 20 – 22**);

means for providing, in response to said telephone number query, a referential directory of said additional website that are comparable alternatives to said specified Internet website based on said comparison of said data regarding products or services offered by said specified website and said additional websites, in addition to said specified Internet website, said additional Internet websites being further selected based on said customizable criteria, said referential directory listing both the website name and telephone number for said additional Internet websites (**Col. 3 Lines 34 - 37**); and

means for providing access to additional Internet websites displayed in said referential directory (**Col. 3 Line 39; Col. 13 Lines 5 – 8**).

However, **Pant** fails to explicitly disclose a specific search query, i.e. telephone numbers.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art that a search query can consist of many different types of alpha/numeric search terms. That is to say, a search query can consist of words, numbers, symbols, and/or any combination thereof. As a result, the Examiner asserts that it is well known that a user who would be using an Internet search engine to look up the location of say, a fast food establishment within a certain area code would input area code 703 and burgers, for example. Further still, it is also well known for the url of many websites to be the phone number of the business, such as 1-800-FLOWERS or 1-800-MATTRES. As a result, the Examiner notes that the act of claiming a specific search term, i.e. telephone number, is nonfunctional descriptive subject matter.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention in view of the teachings of **Pant** to use specific search terms, such as a telephone number, for when the user is searching for a specific site on the Internet.

13. In regards to **claims 2 and 17**, the Examiner asserts that it is old and well known to use web address forwarding.

14. In regards to **claims 3 and 18**, **Pant** discloses means for displaying to users content from said Internet websites in a standardized format (**Col. 6 Lines 40 – 50**)

15. In regards to **claims 4 and 19**, Pant discloses wherein said customizable criteria are provided by electronic communication from said user (**obviously included since the searching is being done on a computer; See also at least Col. 2 Lines 25 - 30**).

16. In regards to **claims 5 and 20**, Pant discloses wherein said customizable criteria are preprogrammed into said system (**See at least Col. 1 Lines 37 – 40**).

17. In regards to **claims 6 and 21**, Pant discloses wherein said means for providing a referential directory of additional Internet websites that are comparable to said specified Internet website, based on one or more customizable criteria for comparison comprises

one or more databases containing data regarding characteristics of a plurality of Internet websites and one or more software applications that select said additional websites based on comparison of data pertaining to said specified website and data pertaining to said additional websites (**Col. 3 Lines 20 – 32**).

18. In regards to **claims 8 – 11 and 23 – 26**, the Examiner asserts that it is old and well known for search engines to provide a listing of websites that are relevant to the user's search query. That is to say, the user can define all of the appropriate search terms for the search engine to search for. As a result, if the user's search query consists of products, services, prices, content, publication, or any combination thereof the search engine would then process the search query and provide the user with all websites that are relevant to the search query.

In regards to **claim 12**, the Examiner asserts that online internet e-mail providers are old and well known in the art, such as Hotmail, Gmail, MSN, and etc. Further still, it

is also well known for many companies to have a contact list consisting of e-mail, fax, or phone numbers. As a result, as explained above, as long as the search query exists somewhere on the Internet the search engine will search for it within its databases and provide them to a user. Further still, the Examiner also asserts that an e-mail address can consist of any alpha/numeric combination that has not been used, including a phone number. Thus, if a user's email address consisted of phonenumber@hotmail.com, for example, the search engine would then search for that specific search term.

19. In regards to **claim 13**, the Examiner asserts that it is old and well known for an e-mail message to be sent to multiple recipients and that a single recipient can have several e-mail accounts.

***Response to Arguments***

20. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims **1 – 26 and 81 – 82** have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

***Conclusion***

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure can be found in the PTO-892 Notice of References Cited.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GERARDO ARAQUE JR whose telephone number is (571)272-3747. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30AM - 4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janice Mooneyham can be reached on (571) 272-6805. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G. A./  
Examiner, Art Unit 3689  
3/15/08

/Janice A. Mooneyham/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629